

LEARY & Co., Nos. 4 and 5 Astor House, New York, Havana, will introduce on Wednesday, the 21st inst., a new and elegant article of gentlemen's Black Fur Hats, at \$3.25 each, in explanation of which we submit the following remarks:

Since the origin of our establishment, we have had in view, and have strictly adhered to three distinct purposes in our manufacture:—Economy of price, Economy of material, and Economy of time, and perfecting a STANDARD OF QUALITY for the UNITED STATES, independent of the European, all of which have been successfully effected, resulting in a most important saving to the community at large, and an equally satisfactory remuneration to ourselves, consequent upon the extensive patronage which we have received.

Our improved FIVE DOLLAR HATS, so superior in material, elegance of style and perfect finish, that immediately upon their introduction, they became not only pre-eminent at home, but celebrated in London and Paris, and the highest encomiums of the Press there, soon overpowered the \$10 Hats previously worn by the first class of our citizens. This article, now fully established as the highest standard, is so extensively known and universally approved by the fashionable and genteel wearers and true economists, that we will not now allow further to its merits, except to announce that we have improved its beauty and value the present season beyond any that have ever before been offered to the public.

At the present season of many of our customers, who were anxious to economize in the first cost, and yet desirous to avail themselves of the peculiar style and finish characteristic of our fabrics, we have for some time past made diligent efforts to accomplish their wishes, and consequently have just perfected the new article of Hats as announced above. They will be composed of superior materials, the workmanship will be of the first order, and although fully equal to the former, will be sold at a lower price, viz: \$3.25 each, a price not only commensurate to the present pecuniary depression, and far less than their intrinsic worth, but infinitely cheaper, when the respective qualities are compared, than any which have ever before been offered to the public.

Purchasers are assured, in advance, that these Hats will not incur the objection, viz: "dear at the LEARY & CO. Astor House, Sept. 15th, 1842." **Spencer, Hatter.**

An advertisement, dated 12th inst., under the name of a house of standing in the hat trade, being evidently to us, (though covertly) aimed at the character and prosperity of our establishment, (as being the only one engaged in the sale of the finest quality of Hatter) has at a late price, cost \$5 demands from us a thorough review of its main points, and a refutation of its unfair insinuations. The language of said advertisement is—Since the origin of our establishment we have had in view, and have strictly adhered to three distinct purposes in our manufacture, viz: Economy in price, improvement of quality and taste, and the perfecting a standard of Fashions for the United States, independent of the European.

A short reference to the history of that concern will sufficiently show the claim to be entirely unfounded. The first act of said House, was to raise the price of the muskrat capped hat, from \$4 to \$4.25, having been sold at the first named price by the establishment from which the House now considered emanated. This fact is well known to ourselves and others who were engaged at the time as operatives on the identical article.

The next movement was subsequent to the substitution of the satin fur, in place of the muskrat, and upon a slight increase in the cost of the material, (viz: from 25 cents per hat) when said concern immediately raised the price of their article 75 cents per hat, or to \$5. At which high price, yielding large profit, the article has been maintained by them.

Second—"Improvement of quality and taste." We grant the truth of this position, but in so doing claim for ourselves and a few other makers, equalized success.

Third—"Standard of Fashions for the United States, independent of European." Upon this point we have abundant evidence to show that the establishment, its practice has not tended to such a result. We remind gentlemen of the fact that the fashion of the Spring of '41 was the model of a hat worn by a distinguished fashionable foreigner, and was by this same house named the O'Drury style. Again, the fashion of the present season, adopted by the same establishment, our own, and others, is but a slight modification of the prevailing Paris style. And it is well known that our city fashions of late years, as in our earlier history, have been in most cases but slight variations from European styles.

The next paragraph in the article requires a slight notice. We now use it, without remarking that the \$5 Hat spoken of in it, never was, and cannot be made equal in value to the former, \$5 to \$10 beaver Hat, as has been too often stated by interested parties. It, however, deserves the popularity which it possesses. We call the attention of "fashionable and genteel wearers, and true economists," to the fact, that this \$5 article is produced by us, of the finest possible quality, and in the most elegant style, at \$5.

We now notice the closing paragraph of the article. After having made a new article of Hatter at a low price, the following language is used in relation to it: "It is infinitely cheaper when the respective qualities are compared, than any which have ever been offered to the public." This we most unqualifiedly affirm to be impossible. We have for the past two years been engaged in selling Hats of first quality and styles, at lower prices than any other house. This we have thus far done, and we now positively affirm, that we are, by our peculiar economy of price, business, enabled to offer Gentlemen's Hats at less prices than with the average system of business pursued by the house in question, it possibly can.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

At market, 700 Beef Cattle, 875 Stores, 3,200 Sheep, and 1125 Swine.

Prices.—Beef Cattle.—We quote to correspond with last week's market, as follows: 1st quality \$4.50 a pair; 2nd quality \$3.75 a pair; 3rd quality \$3.50 a pair; 4th quality \$3.25 a pair; 5th quality \$3.00 a pair; 6th quality \$2.75 a pair; 7th quality \$2.50 a pair; 8th quality \$2.25 a pair; 9th quality \$2.00 a pair; 10th quality \$1.75 a pair; 11th quality \$1.50 a pair; 12th quality \$1.25 a pair; 13th quality \$1.00 a pair; 14th quality \$0.75 a pair; 15th quality \$0.50 a pair; 16th quality \$0.25 a pair; 17th quality \$0.10 a pair; 18th quality \$0.05 a pair; 19th quality \$0.02 a pair; 20th quality \$0.01 a pair.

MONEY MARKET.

Sales at the Stock Exchange, Sept. 22.

50 Del & Had.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2
50 do.	1000 82 1/2	25 do.	1000 82 1/2

SECOND BOARD.

10 Mohawk R.R.	100 1/2	25 do.	100 1/2
10 do.	100 1/2	25 do.	100 1/2
10 do.	100 1/2	25 do.	100 1/2
10 do.	100 1/2	25 do.	100 1/2
10 do.	100 1/2	25 do.	100 1/2

Commercial and Money Markets.

There was a fair business at the Board today, of which the stockholders will receive their first dividend in January next, the \$125,000 net earnings in 1841 and 1842, or a liberal portion of it being kept for a reserved fund.

The Rochester Post asserts that, in spite of the relations between the Rochester Banks being in favor of the Farmers' and Mechanics', the notes of that Bank are scarcely seen or known in Rochester; and the apparent balances in fact real balances in favor of that Bank. It says there is evidently some thing wrong in the business.

The Buffalo Courier, speaking of the Rochester operations of Strickland, late Cashier of the failed City Bank of Buffalo, now Editor of the Loco-Foco Buffalo Courier, says:

"In June, 1839, Strickland was Cashier of the City Bank of Buffalo. The Bank was 'hard up.' Strickland at that time, and in 1840, was Cashier of the State Bank of New York for \$40,000, on the following terms: The loan to be for one year, and Strickland to receive in lieu of the \$40,000 City Bank notes which he deposited, paid in full White and Black to the amount of \$42,000 & 40 Cts. Cash."

Total—\$40,000 00

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.

The City Bank failed, and the Receiver has been appointed. The loan was on the ground, 1st, that the loan was unauthorized; 2nd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation; and 3rd, that the Bank was not a legal corporation.